

# AFRICAN ECONOMIC RESEARCH CONSORTIUM

## Collaborative MA Programme in Economics for Anglophone Africa (Except Nigeria)

### JOINT FACILITY FOR ELECTIVES JULY – OCTOBER 2006

### ECONOMETRICS THEORY & PRACTICE II

### Second Semester: Final Examination

Time: 09.00 AM – 12.00 Noon

Date: Monday, October 2, 2006

---

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

Choose **4** out of the following **6 questions**. All questions have equal weight.

You can use unprogrammable calculators. Relevant formulas are embedded in the questions wherever they are necessary. Please show your derivations and mathematical steps in detail.

---

#### Question 1

1.1. In the framework of a linear probability model(LPM), the conditional probability of an event (y) happening is given by;

$$\Pr(y = 1 | x) = F(x, \beta) = x' \beta$$

Introducing disturbances u, we can write the model as

$$y = x' \beta + u$$

For n observations we have;

$$y_i = x_i' \beta + u_i$$

where i is indexing individuals.

Show that the disturbances of the LPM are

- i. non-normal **(10 points)**
- ii. heteroscedastic **(20 points)**.

1.2 Suppose an individual is faced with a decision whether to participate in a labour market. Show that the latent variable approach to binary choice model specification can be derived from an economic model of behaviour.

**(30 points)**

1.3. Consider the following binary response model;

$$P(y=1|z) = G(\beta_0 + \beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_1^2 + \beta_3 \log(z_2) + \beta_4 z_3)$$

i) Compute the marginal effects of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

**(15 points)**

ii.) Give interpretations of the different components of the following logit model that predicts the probability of having debilitating disease (i.e.  $Dtfti$ ).

**(25 points)**

```
Logistic regression                                Number of obs   =       3900
                                                    LR chi2(10)     =       46.98
                                                    Prob > chi2     =       0.0000
Log likelihood = -2595.0551                      Pseudo R2      =       0.0090
```

	Dtfti	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1		.1485002	.0768532	1.93	0.053	-.0021293	.2991297
x2		.4514692	.278616	1.62	0.105	-.094608	.9975464
x3		.1040395	.0700276	1.49	0.137	-.0332121	.2412911
x4		.3960794	.0967245	4.09	0.000	.2065029	.585656
x5		.1630668	.076221	2.14	0.032	.0136763	.3124573
x6		.4112997	.1409115	2.92	0.004	.1351182	.6874812
x7		.2555858	.1185773	2.16	0.031	.0231786	.487993
x8		-.1077324	.0869757	-1.24	0.215	-.2782016	.0627368
x9		.1660216	.0947671	1.75	0.080	-.0197184	.3517617
x10		.183462	.1958315	0.94	0.349	-.2003606	.5672847
_cons		-1.893852	.3687497	-5.14	0.000	-2.616588	-1.171115

```
Marginal effects after logit
y = Pr(tfti) (predict)
= .39462817
```

variable	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[ 95% C.I. ]		X
x1*	.0356761	.01855	1.92	0.055	-.00069	.072042	.300769
x2*	.10156	.05807	1.75	0.080	-.012254	.215374	.983077
x3*	.0248553	.01673	1.49	0.137	-.007927	.057638	.49
x4*	.0914726	.02143	4.27	0.000	.049475	.13347	.827436
x5*	.0386858	.01794	2.16	0.031	.003518	.073854	.682821
x6*	.1003044	.03479	2.88	0.004	.032109	.1685	.174359
x7*	.0601856	.02746	2.19	0.028	.006362	.114009	.731026
x8*	-.0258979	.02103	-1.23	0.218	-.067112	.015316	.794615
x9*	.0391699	.02205	1.78	0.076	-.004049	.082389	.82359
x10*	.0429415	.04479	0.96	0.338	-.044854	.130737	.968718

(\*) dy/dx is for discrete change of dummy variable from 0 to 1

## Question 2

2.1. I).Describe the mlogit (multinomial logit), clogit(conditional logit) and nlogit(nested logit) models motivating your discussion using the latent variable approach. Provide real world examples under which each of the models can be applied.

**(30 points)**

ii) What is IIA(Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives) ? Show the steps of testing for IIA?

**(20 points)**

2.2. Consider a sample of data  $\{y_i, x_i\}$  of size  $n$  drawn independently from some population, where the dependent variable  $y_i$  has  $M$  possible outcomes  $y_i = 1, \dots, M$  with a natural ordering. The observed values are assumed to derive from some unobservable latent variable  $y_i^*$  where,

$$y_i^* = x_i' \beta + u_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

for some  $k \times 1$  parameter vector  $\beta$  and (univariate) stochastic disturbance term  $u_i$ . The  $M$  outcomes for the observed variable  $y_i$  are assumed to be related to the latent variable through the following observability criterion;

$$y_i = m, \text{ if } \alpha_{m-1} \leq y_i^* \leq \alpha_m, \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, M,$$

for a set of parameters  $\alpha_0$  to  $\alpha_M$ ,  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_M$ ,  $\alpha_0 = -\infty$  and  $\alpha_M = \infty$ .

- i) Find the conditional probability of observing the  $m$ th category.  
**(15 points)**
- ii.) Derive the likelihood function for the ordered probit model.  
**(35 points)**

### Question 3

3.1. Consider the following observability rules of truncated and censored samples

For truncated samples, we have;

$$T1: y = y^*, \text{ if } y^* > c; \text{ Not observed otherwise}$$

If the variable is censored, we have the following observability rules:

$$C1: y = y^* \cdot 1(y^* > c) + c \cdot 1(y^* \leq c)$$

$$C2: y = y^* \cdot 1(y^* < d) + d \cdot 1(y^* \geq d)$$

$$C3: y = y^* \cdot 1(c < y^* < d) + c \cdot 1(y^* \leq c) + d \cdot 1(y^* \geq d)$$

Interpret each rule and give examples in which they can be applicable.

**(25 points)**

3.2. Suppose two JFE students have similar econometric modelling problems but they are interested in investigating different research questions. Student A is interested primarily to predict determinants of health expenditure by households while student B is interested primarily to predict the determinant of household expenditure on environmental protection. Note that the sample of households that are going to be analysed are selected non-randomly. Furthermore, to gather relevant information the students have interviewed households in a given community about their willingness to pay a certain amount of money for health insurance as well as environmental protection. What is the relevant econometric modelling strategy for the students? Show in detail the steps involved in obtaining the parameters of interest.

**(50 points)**

3.3. Given the model of the following form,  $y_i = x_i'\beta + u_i, u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ ; show that  $E(y_i | x_i, y_i > 0) = x_i'\beta + \sigma \cdot \lambda(x_i'\beta / \sigma)$ .

**(10 points)**

3.4. Let us consider the following simultaneous equation system;

$$y_1^* = \alpha_1 y_2^* + x_1'\beta_1 + \varepsilon_1$$

$$y_2^* = \alpha_2 y_1^* + x_2'\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2$$

It is obvious that we use 2SLS technique to estimate the system if both variables on the left-hand side are observed. However, show how we can estimate the parameters of the system if  $y_1$  is observed and  $y_2$  is censored. [N.B. The second equation is the structural equation and the first one is the reduced-form for the endogeneous regressor  $y_1$ ].

**(15 points)**

#### Question 4

4.1. Define the following duration concepts

- i) hazard function
- ii) survivor function
- iii) positive and negative duration dependence

**(6 points)**

4.2.

- i) Show that  $\lambda(t) = -\frac{d \log S(t)}{dt}$ , where  $t$  is an actual realisation of a given duration  $T$  ( $T > t$ ).

**(10 points)**

- ii) The integrated hazard,  $\Lambda(t)$ , is precisely the negative of the log survival function.

**(4 points)**

- iii) Given a weibull and log-logistic distributions for the shape of the hazard function, find the expression for  $F(t)$ ,  $S(t)$ ,  $f(t)$  and  $\Lambda(t)$ . The weibull distribution's hazard function is given by  $\lambda(t) = f(t)/S(t) = \gamma\alpha t^{\alpha-1}$  while the log-logistic hazard function is given by  $\lambda(t) = \frac{\gamma\alpha t^{\alpha-1}}{1+t^\alpha}$ .

**(15 points)**

4.3. Let  $T \geq 0$  be the duration of a state (e.g. unemployment, imprisonment, illness...etc) which has some distribution in the population and  $t$  is a particular value of  $T$ . Assume that  $T$  is continuous and has a differentiable cdf.

- i) Generate a formula for an average probability of leaving a given state (exit) per unit of time within the short interval.

- ii) Show the expression to compute the instantaneous rate of leaving per unit of time conditional on survival to time  $t$ .
- iii) If  $T$  is the length of time unemployed, how do you interpret  $\lambda(20)$ ?

**(15 points)**

4.4. An especially important class of duration models with time-invariant regressors consists of proportional hazard models. Suppose a proportional hazard function depending on a vector of explanatory variables  $x$  with unknown coefficients is given by;

$$\lambda(t; x) = k(x) * \lambda_0(t)$$

where  $k(.) > 0$  is a nonnegative function of  $x$  and  $\lambda_0(t) > 0$  is called the baseline hazard. Suppose  $k(.)$  is parameterised as  $k(x) = \exp(x\beta)$  where  $\beta$  is a vector of parameters.

- i.) Show that the proportional effect of  $x$  on the conditional probability of ending a spell does not depend on duration (i.e.  $t$ ) and this effect is constant.
- ii.) Suppose the completed durations are ordered as follows  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$  and  $k(.) = \phi(x, \beta)$ . Derive the log-likelihood for the partial likelihood estimator suggested by Cox. Briefly discuss the advantages and shortcomings of the Cox's Proportional Hazard model.

**(40 points)**

### Question 5

5.1. Discuss the advantages of a panel data over cross-sectional and time-series data. Highlight some of the practical (empirical) problems encountered in the process of modelling economic relationships using panel data.

**(30 points)**

5.2. Suppose we have the following fixed effects model with 2 time periods;

$$y_{it} = \beta_1 + \gamma_1 d2_t + \beta_2 x_{it} + a_i + u_{it}$$

where  $d2_t$  is a time dummy relating to period 2;  $x_{it}$  is a time-varying explanatory variable and  $i$  can be an individual, household, firm or country identifier.

- i.) Give an interpretation for  $a_i$ , and,  $u_{it}$ .
- ii.) What can be captured by including the time dummy? Give a real world example.
- iii.) Given 2 years of data, how can we estimate the  $\beta$ 's? State key assumptions for consistent estimation of the parameters.

**(10 points)**

**(10 points)**

**(50 points)**

### Question 6

6.1 Explain the Residual-based LM Test which is derived by McCoskey and Kao (1998) for the null of cointegration rather than the null of no cointegration in panels.

**(50 points)**

6.2. What are the drawbacks of first-differencing panel data?

**(20 points)**

6.3. Compare and contrast Fixed Effects and Random Effects model. State the necessary assumptions.

**(30 points)**